Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/552,791	SPRINGMANN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	JAMES M. HEWITT	3679
All Participants:	s: Status of Application:	
(1) <u>JAMES M. HEWITT</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Frederick Dorchak</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>17 June 2009</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1-2, 4, 14-17, 19-20, 22-23 and 25 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Mr. Dorchak agreed to amend the claims as reflected in the accompanying Examiner's Amendment in order to place the case in condition for allowance.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)